IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, e	t al.)	
v.	Plaintiffs,)	Case No. 4:05-cv-00329-GKF-PJC
TYSON FOODS, INC., et al.)	
	Defendants.)	

DEFENDANTS' COUNTER-NOTICE ON "STATE OF OKLAHOMA'S NOTICE OF FILING OF DOCUMENT" [DKT #2108]

On May 20, 2009, Plaintiffs filed a "Notice of Filing of Document" (hereafter the "Notice"). Dkt. #2108. The Notice attaches a document that purports to be an agreement between the Cherokee Nation and the State of Oklahoma (hereafter the "Purported Agreement"). The Notice asserts that the Purported Agreement "relates to issues raised in 'Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Join the Cherokee Nation as a Required Party or, in the Alternative, Motion for Judgment as a Matter of Law Based on a Lack of Standing [DKT #1788 & #1790]." *Id.* at 1. The Notice thereby urges the Court to consider the provisions of the Purported Agreement in analyzing the legal issues raised in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.

Accordingly, Plaintiffs' Notice is the equivalent of a sur-reply. *Southern Star Central Gas Pipeline, Inc. v. Greuel*, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36677, at *14, n.4 (D. Kan. April 30, 2009) ("[O]nce movant has filed its reply brief, there is no provision for the filing of any other papers, whether they are called a 'supplement,' sur-reply, further response or something else."); *E.T.I. Euro Telecom Int'l N.V. v. Republic of Bolivia*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 67217, at *1-2, n.2 (S.D.N.Y. Sept. 4, 2008). However, the Notice does not fully explain to the Court the legal implications of the various provisions contained in the Purported Agreement. A full

understanding of those issues is critical to the Court's evaluation of the Motion to Dismiss. *See*, *e.g.*, *Norwood-Thomas v. City of Milwaukee*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 104642, *2-3 (E.D. Wis. Dec. 18, 2008) (emphasizing the benefit of "full briefing" before "ruling definitively on the matter"); *Leaf Funding, Inc. v. Donahue*, 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 45208 *4-5 (S.D. Ohio June 6, 2008) (noting the "benefit of full briefing on issues that the parties may have never fully contemplated").

For example, the Purported Agreement concedes that Defendants' arguments in the Motion to Dismiss are correct, admitting that "the Cherokee Nation has substantial interests in the lands, water and other natural resources located within the Illinois River Watershed." Purported Agreement at 1. As explained in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, the fact that the Nation possesses this substantial interest triggers the requirement that it be joined under Rule 19 if feasible. *See* Motion to Dismiss, Dkt. #1788 at 14-23.

However, the Purported Agreement attempts to avoid a Rule 19 analysis by claiming that the State and the Cherokee Nation have "assigned" the Nation's rights in this case. Plaintiffs apparently suggest that the Court need not decide whether the Nation or the State is the proper plaintiff because the parties have agreed among themselves that the State has standing. *See* Purported Agreement at 1-3. But this attempt to achieve standing by contract has substantial legal problems that have not been addressed for the benefit of the Court. For example:

First, Oklahoma law explicitly prescribes a process that the State must follow in entering into agreements with Indian Tribes. 74 Okla. Stat. § 1221 provides:

C. 1. The Governor, or named designee, is authorized to negotiate and enter into cooperative agreements on behalf of this state with federally recognized Indian Tribal Governments within this state to address issues of mutual interest. Except as otherwise provided by this subsection, such agreements shall become effective upon approval by the Joint Committee on State-Tribal Relations.

- - 2. If the cooperative agreements specified and authorized by paragraph 1 of this subsection involve trust responsibilities, approval by the Secretary of the Interior or designee shall be required.
- 3. Any cooperative agreement specified and authorized by paragraph 1 of this subsection *involving the surface water and/or groundwater resources* of this state or which in whole or in part apportions surface and/or groundwater ownership shall become effective only upon the consent of the Oklahoma Legislature authorizing such cooperative agreement

Id. (emphasis added). Accordingly, under Oklahoma law, such agreements must be negotiated and approved by the Governor and do not become effective until approved by the Joint Committee on State-Tribal Relations. Id. For cases (such as this) involving trust responsibilities over surface water and groundwater, an agreement with a Tribe does not become effective until approved by the Secretary of the Interior and a vote of the state Legislature. See id. The Attorney General alone is not empowered either to give away or augment the rights and privileges of the State of Oklahoma. That power is reserved to the Oklahoma Legislature and Governor. The Purported Agreement recognizes that the parties signing the agreement must have valid authority. See Purported Agreement at p. 1 and ¶¶ 5, 7. However, none of those requirements were followed, making the Purported Agreement invalid. This issue has not been briefed for the Court.

Second, even if the Purported Agreement complied with Oklahoma's procedural requirements on agreements with Indian Tribes, it appears that Oklahoma's substantive law forbids assignment of the claims raised in Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint. See, e.g. 12 Okla. Stat. § 2017(D) ("The assignment of claims not arising out of contract is prohibited.")

relevant authorities in the forthcoming brief in response to Plaintiffs' Notice.

3

¹ There may be similar substantive and procedural issues with regard to federal and tribal restrictions on the transfer of a tribe's rights. The Cherokee Nation and federal law protect tribal interests by restricting the manner in which such rights may be transferred and prohibiting certain transfers. To aid the Court, Defendants will research these issues and set forth the

(formerly 12 Okla. Stat. § 221 ("Every action must be prosecuted in the name of the real party in interest, except as otherwise provided in this article but this section shall not be deemed to authorize the assignment of a thing in action, not arising out of contract." (emphasis added))); Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co. v. Associates Transports, 512 P.2d 137, 140 (Okla. 1973) ("We have held the emphasized portion of § 221 prohibits assignment of a cause of action arising out of a pure tort.") Rose Group, L.L.C. v. Miller, 64 P.3d 573, 575 (Okla. Ct. App. 2003) ("Section 2017(D) prohibits the assignment of claims not arising from contract. This section embodies the common law rule that a chose in action arising out of a pure tort is not assignable." (citing Kansas City M. & O. Ry. Co. v. Shutt, 104 P. 51, 53 (Okla. 1909)). Dippel v. Hunt, 517 P.2d 444 (Okl. App. 1973) ("Shortly after Oklahoma became a state its supreme court ... [recognized] the common law prohibition against the assignment of a tort action.... This prohibition obtains unless otherwise provided by statute."). This issue has not been briefed for the Court.

Third, the Purported Agreement recognizes that, even if the Agreement were validly executed and not barred by Oklahoma and federal law, it still may be necessary for the Court to decide the Motion to Dismiss. See Purported Agreement ¶¶ 4, 9, 10 (reserving the Nation's sovereign immunity for Rule 19 and stating that the Purported Agreement's provisions are invalid if the ownership of natural resources remains before the Court). This reservation of rights recognizes that it may not be possible to structure an agreement whereby two parties agree that one of them lacks standing, but attempts to avoid deciding which of them is the proper plaintiff. Id. p. 1 (agreeing that "the State has sufficient interests ... to prosecute the claims asserted" in this case). It also may not be possible for one CERCLA trustee to select another party to serve as the trustee. Similarly, the identity of the proper plaintiff may be essential to deciding some of Plaintiffs' claims, such as the trespass claim which requires the plaintiff to

have exclusive possessory ownership of the property in question. *See Defendants' Joint Motion* for Summary Judgment on Counts 6 & 10 of the Second Amended Complaint, Dkt. # 2055, at 8-13. These issues have not been briefed for the Court.

Finally, it may not be possible for a party to retroactively obtain standing for a federal lawsuit, as the Purported Agreement attempts. See Purported Agreement ¶ 8. Standing (and thus federal jurisdiction) is determined at the time the action is filed. Although a party must maintain standing throughout the litigation, it may not create standing later and apply it retroactively. Thus, this eleventh hour agreement may not repair the standing defect noted in Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. This issue has not been briefed for the Court.

This counter-notice does not brief these significant legal issues, which were only recently raised by Plaintiffs' filing of the Notice. Defendants file this counter-notice only to respectfully alert the Court that Plaintiffs' Notice raises these and other significant legal issues and that Defendants intend to file a brief that assists the Court by fully addressing the issues raised by the Notice.

Respectfully submitted,

BY: ____/s/Jay T. Jorgensen____

Thomas C. Green

Mark D. Hopson

Jay T. Jorgensen

Gordon D. Todd

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

1501 K Street, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20005-1401

Telephone: (202) 736-8000

Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

-and-

Robert W. George

Vice President & Associate General Counsel

Bryan Burns

Timothy T. Jones Tyson Foods, Inc. 2210 West Oaklawn Drive Springdale, Ark. 72764 Telephone: (479) 290-4076 Facsimile: (479) 290-7967

-and-

Michael R. Bond KUTAK ROCK LLP Suite 400 234 East Millsap Road Fayetteville, AR 72703-4099 Telephone: (479) 973-4200 Facsimile: (479) 973-0007

-and-

Patrick M. Ryan, OBA # 7864 Stephen L. Jantzen, OBA # 16247 RYAN, WHALEY & COLDIRON, P.C. 119 N. Robinson 900 Robinson Renaissance Oklahoma City, OK 73102 Telephone: (405) 239-6040 Facsimile: (405) 239-6766

ATTORNEYS FOR TYSON FOODS, INC.; TYSON POULTRY, INC.; TYSON CHICKEN, INC; AND COBB-VANTRESS, INC.

BY: /s/James M. Graves

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)

Woodson W. Bassett III Gary V. Weeks James M. Graves K.C. Dupps Tucker BASSETT LAW FIRM P.O. Box 3618

Fayetteville, AR 72702-3618 Telephone: (479) 521-9996 Facsimile: (479) 521-9600

-and-

Randall E. Rose, OBA #7753 George W. Owens OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C. 234 W. 13th Street Tulsa, OK 74119 Telephone: (918) 587-0021 Facsimile: (918) 587-6111

ATTORNEYS FOR GEORGE'S, INC. AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

BY:____/s/A. Scott McDaniel_

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)

A. Scott McDaniel, OBA #16460 Nicole M. Longwell, OBA #18771 Philip D. Hixon, OBA #19121 McDaniel, Hixon, Longwell & Acord, Pllc 320 South Boston Ave., Ste. 700 Tulsa, OK 74103 Telephone: (918) 382-9200

Telephone: (918) 382-9200 Facsimile: (918) 382-9282

-and-

Sherry P. Bartley
MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG,
GATES & WOODYARD, PLLC
425 W. Capitol Avenue, Suite 1800
Little Rock, AR 72201
Telephone: (501) 688-8800
Facsimile: (501) 688-8807

ATTORNEYS FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

BY:___/s/John R. Elrod____

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)

John R. Elrod Vicki Bronson, OBA #20574 P. Joshua Wisley CONNER & WINTERS, L.L.P. 211 East Dickson Street Fayetteville, AR 72701 Telephone: (479) 582-5711

Facsimile: (479) 587-1426

-and-

Bruce W. Freeman D. Richard Funk CONNER & WINTERS, L.L.P. 4000 One Williams Center Tulsa, OK 74172

Telephone: (918) 586-5711 Facsimile: (918) 586-8553

ATTORNEYS FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

BY:___/s/Robert P. Redemann_

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)

Robert P. Redemann, OBA #7454 PERRINE, McGIVERN, REDEMANN, REID, BERRY & TAYLOR, P.L.L.C.

Post Office Box 1710 Tulsa, OK 74101-1710

Telephone: (918) 382-1400 Facsimile: (918) 382-1499

-and-

Robert E. Sanders Stephen Williams YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A. Post Office Box 23059 Jackson, MS 39225-3059 Telephone: (601) 948-6100 Facsimile: (601) 355-6136

ATTORNEYS FOR CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC.

BY: <u>/s/ John H. Tucker</u>

(SIGNED BY FILING ATTORNEY WITH PERMISSION)
John H. Tucker, OBA #9110
Theresa Noble Hill, OBA #19119
RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE, PLLC
100 W. Fifth Street, Suite 400 (74103-4287)
P.O. Box 21100
Tulsa, Oklahoma 74121-1100

Telephone: (918) 582-1173 Facsimile: (918) 592-3390

-and-

Delmar R. Ehrich **Bruce Jones** Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee FAEGRE & BENSON LLP 2200 Wells Fargo Center 90 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Telephone: (612) 766-7000

Facsimile: (612) 766-1600

ATTORNEYS FOR CARGILL, INC. AND CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 21st day of May 2009, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk of Court using the ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to the following ECF registrants:

W. A. Drew Edmondson, Attorney General Kelly Hunter Burch, Assistant Attorney General J. Trevor Hammons, Assistant Attorney General Daniel P. Lennington, Assistant Attorney General

drew_edmondson@oag.state.ok.us kelly_burch@oag.state.ok.us trevor hammons@oag.state.ok.us daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov

Douglas Allen Wilson Melvin David Riggs Richard T. Garren Sharon K. Weaver Robert Allen Nance **Dorothy Sharon Gentry** Joseph P. Lennart David P. Page RIGGS ABNEY NEAL TURPEN ORBISON & LEWIS

doug_wilson@riggsabney.com driggs@riggsabney.com rgarren@riggsabney.com sweaver@riggsabney.com rnance@riggsabney.com sgentry@riggsabney.com ilennart@riggsabney.com dpage@riggsabney.com

Louis W. Bullock Robert M. Blakemore BULLOCK BULLOCK & BLAKEMORE, PLLC lbullock@bullock-blakemore.com bblakemore@bullock-blakemore.com

Frederick C. Baker Lee M. Heath William H. Narwold Elizabeth C. Ward Elizabeth Claire Xidis Ingrid L. Moll Jonathan D. Orent Michael G. Rousseau Fidelma L. Fitzpatrick MOTLEY RICE, LLC

fbaker@motleyrice.com lheath@motleyrice.com bnarwold@motleyrice.com lward@motleyrice.com cxidis@motleyrice.com imoll@motleyrice.com jorent@motleyrice.com mrousseau@motleyrice.com ffitzpatrick@motleyrice.com

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS

A. Scott McDaniel Nicole Longwell Philip D. Hixon Craig A. Mirkes McDaniel Hixon Longwell & Acord, PLLC smcdaniel@mhla-law.com nlongwell@mhla-law.com phixon@mhla-law.com cmirkes@mhla-law.com

Sherry P. Bartley sbartley@mwsgw.com MITCHELL, WILLIAMS, SELIG, GATES & WOODYARD, PLLC

COUNSEL FOR PETERSON FARMS, INC.

R. Thomas Lay rtl@kiralaw.com

KERR, IRVINE, RHODES & ABLES

David G. Brown

Jennifer S. Griffin

dbrown@lathropgage.com

jgriffin@lathropgage.com

LATHROP & GAGE, L.C.

COUNSEL FOR WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.

Robert P. Redemann rredemann@pmrlaw.net
David C. Senger dsenger@pmrlaw.net

PERRINE, McGivern, Redemann, Reid, Berry & Taylor, PLLC

Robert E. Sanders rsanders@youngwilliams.com
E. Stephen Williams steve.williams@youngwilliams.com

YOUNG WILLIAMS P.A.

COUNSEL FOR CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC. AND CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC.

George W. Owens gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com Randall E. Rose gwo@owenslawfirmpc.com

THE OWENS LAW FIRM, P.C.

James M. Graves jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com
Gary V. Weeks gweeks@bassettlawfirm.com
Woody Bassett wbassettlawfirm.com
K.C. Dupps Tucker kctucker@bassettlawfirm.com

BASSETT LAW FIRM

COUNSEL FOR GEORGE'S INC. AND GEORGE'S FARMS, INC.

John R. Elrod jelrod@cwlaw.com
Vicki Bronson vbronson@cwlaw.com
Bruce W. Freeman bfreeman@cwlaw.com
D. Richard Funk dfunk@cwlaw.com
P. Joshua Wisley jwisley@cwlaw.com

CONNER & WINTERS, PLLC

COUNSEL FOR SIMMONS FOODS, INC.

John H. Tucker jtucker@rhodesokla.com
Colin H. Tucker chtucker@rhodesokla.com
Theresa Noble Hill thill@rhodesokla.com

RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE

Terry W. West terry@thewestlawfirm.com

THE WEST LAW FIRM

Delmar R. Ehrich **Bruce Jones** Krisann C. Kleibacker Lee Todd P. Walker Melissa C. Collins FAEGRE & BENSON LLP

dehrich@faegre.com bjones@faegre.com kklee@faegre.com twalker@faegre.com mcollins@faegre.com

Dara D. Mann

dmann@mckennalong.com

MCKENNA, LONG & ADLRIDGE, LLP

COUNSEL FOR CARGILL, INC. AND CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC

I also hereby certify that I served the attached documents by United States Postal Service, proper postage paid, on the following who are not registered participants of the ECF System:

Secretary of the Environment State of Oklahoma 3800 North Classen Oklahoma City, OK 73118

> /s/ Jay T. Jorgensen Jay T. Jorgensen